

Biochemical Characterization of NotB as an FAD-Dependent Oxidase in the Biosynthesis of Notoamide Indole Alkaloids

Shengying Li,[†] Jennifer M. Finefield,[‡] James D. Sunderhaus,[‡] Timothy J. McAfoos,[‡] Robert M. Williams,^{*,‡,§} and David H. Sherman^{*,†,⊥}

[†]Life Sciences Institute and [⊥]Departments of Medicinal Chemistry, Microbiology & Immunology, and Chemistry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, United States

[‡]Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523, United States

[§]University of Colorado Cancer Center, Aurora, Colorado 80045, United States

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Notoamides produced by *Aspergillus* spp. bearing the bicyclo[2.2.2]diazaoctane core structure with unusual structural diversity represent a compelling system to understand the biosynthesis of fungal prenylated indole alkaloids. Herein, we report the *in vitro* characterization of NotB, which catalyzes the indole 2,3-oxidation of notoamide E (13), leading to notoamides C (11) and D (12) through an apparent pinacol-like rearrangement. This unique enzymatic reaction with high substrate specificity, together with the information derived from precursor incorporation experiments using $[^{13}C]_2-[^{15}N]_2$ quadruply labeled notoamide S (10), demonstrates 10 as a pivotal branching point in notoamide biosynthesis.

T he family of fungal prenylated indole alkaloids has attracted considerable interest due to their wide spectrum of biological activities, and they serve as fascinating targets for chemical synthesis and biosynthetic studies.¹ Family members include the anticancer agents stephacidin (1) and avrainvillamide (2), anthelmintic paraherquamide (3), calmodulininhibitor malbrancheamide (4), insecticidal brevianamide (5) and sclerotiamides (6), tremorgenic mycotoxin fumitremorgin (7) (Figure 1), and a growing number of related novel bioactive metabolites.^{1,2}

The notoamides represent relatively new members of this family of prenylated indole alkaloids.³ Their structures (Figures 1 and 2) contain the unique bicyclo[2.2.2]diazaoctane core proposed to arise from an intramolecular Diels-Alder (IMDA) reaction, while the spiro-oxindole functionality present in notoamides A (8) and B (9) is presumably derived from an epoxide-initiated pinacol-type rearrangement and is intriguing with respect to their biosynthetic origin.⁴ An additional fascinating aspect of the biosynthesis of the notoamides and stephacidins is the discovery that the marine-derived Aspergillus sp. MF297-2 exclusively produces the enantiomers of (+)-1, (-)-8, and (-)-9, whereas the terrestrial A. versicolor NRRL 35600 generates the antipodal products (-)-1, (+)-8, and (+)-9^{3c} (Figure 1). This implies the biosynthetic enzymes involved in assembly and tailoring might have evolved to catalyze an "identical" reaction to give an enantiomerically distinct product.



Figure 1. Representative fungal prenylated indole alkaloids.

To address these biosynthetic questions, we recently sequenced the genome of *Aspergillus* sp. MF297-2 and identified the 42456 bp notoamide biosynthetic gene cluster (*not*) (GenBank accession no. HM622670.1) through *in silico* sequence database mining.⁵

Based on deep annotation of the predicted Not biosynthetic enzymes, together with previous biomimetic syntheses of postulated biosynthetic intermediates^{4,6} and corresponding feeding studies with stable isotopically labeled compounds,⁷ a putative major biosynthetic pathway for **1**, **8**, and **9** has been proposed by these laboratories (Figure 2). Moreover, *in vitro* characterization of the reverse prenyltransferase NotF and normal prenyltransferase NotC has partially established the early steps of the notoamide pathway leading to notoamide S (**10**)⁵ (Figure 2). We recently proposed biosynthetic intermediate **10** to be the likely substrate for the hypothetical

Received: October 3, 2011 Published: December 20, 2011



Figure 2. Putative biosynthetic pathway for stephacidin and the notoamides. Structures of 11^{\dagger} and $14^{\$}$ are revised on the basis of results of this study (see SI).

Diels-Alderase as well as a pivotal branch point in notoamide biosynthesis.⁴ Specifically, in this study, when ¹³C (C12 and C18), ¹⁵N (N13 and N19) quadruply labeled 10 was administered to cultures of A. versicolor, (-)-1, (+)-9, its diastereomer versicolamide B,3c together with notoamide C (11) and D (12) were found to contain significant ${}^{13}C$ and ${}^{15}N$ isotope incorporation (see Supporting Information (SI)). In contrast, earlier precursor incorporation experiments in Aspergillus sp. MF297-2 and A. versicolor were conducted using doubly ¹³C-labeled (C12 and C17) notoamide E (13) that presumably arises from 10 via an oxidative pyran ring closure, in which ¹³C incorporation was observed only in 11, 12, and a novel product, 3-*epi*-notoamide C (14).^{7a} No isotopic enrichment was observed in compounds containing the bicyclo[2.2.2]diazaoctane ring, including 1, 8, and 9. These results strongly suggest that 13 does not undergo a biosynthetic IMDA leading to 1. Thus, biogenesis of 11–14 as well as 1, 8, and 9 is proposed to arise from 10 as the common precursor (Figure 2).

To test this hypothesis and further elucidate details of the entire notoamide biosynthetic pathway, we initiated a biochemical analysis of all Not biosynthetic enzymes. To extend our recent investigation of the two prenyltransferases NotC and NotF,⁵ we herein report *in vitro* characterization of NotB, an FAD-dependent monooxygenase (FMO) responsible for converting early intermediate **13** to **12** and **11**, the final products of the major branching pathway.

Previously, the *notB* open reading frame (ORF) was predicted to consist of three exons (3486-4079, 4141-4487, and 4568-4829)⁵ based on which this ORF was cloned by PCR amplification using the cDNA template prepared by reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) from the total RNA isolated from *Aspergillus* sp MF297-2. Next, the *notB* ORF was subcloned into the pET28b vector. However, the heterologous expression of *notB* in *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3) and BL21 CodonPlus (DE3)-RIPL cells was unsuccessful, which led to a reconsideration of the *notB* ORF annotation. Careful inspection of the *5'* upstream sequence of the assigned start codon resulted in identification of an additional exon—intron pair. Interestingly, the previous start codon within the new intron is only 4 bp away from the 3' splicing site (AG-3') of the newly identified intron, thus explaining why the previous mis-annotation resulted in cloning of the partial *notB* gene using the forward primer that is partially complementary to the second exon. Based on the revised annotation, the new version of the *notB* ORF was cloned into pET28b. Sequencing of this new gene identified another minor error generated by *in silico* prediction. The predicted exon 3486-4079 was revealed to be 6 bp shorter than the actual sequence due to two close 5' splicing sites (5'-GT) (see SI). As a result of these two additional changes, the organization of *notB* exons were again revised to 3265-3433, 3493-4085, 4141-4487, and 4568-4829. Notably, a similar correction of exon organization was also made to *AFUA_6g12060* from *A. fumigatus* Af293,⁸ an FMO gene homologous to *notB*.

The new construct pET28b-notB was successfully expressed in E. coli BL21 CodonPlus (DE3)-RIPL strain to generate Nterminal His6-tagged recombinant NotB. The protein was purified to homogeneity by tandem Ni-NTA chromatography. The light yellow NotB solution displayed an ultraviolet-visible absorption spectrum consistent with a flavoprotein. The yellow color retained with the supernatant after boiling and centrifugation to pellet the denatured protein indicated the flavin cofactor is non-covalently bound. Further HPLC analysis of the supernatant clearly showed FAD instead of FMN serves as the NotB cofactor (see SI). The percent holoprotein was determined to be 90% using the concentration of the released FAD upon denaturation that was calculated from its characteristic absorption at 446 nm versus the concentration of purified NotB based on Bradford assay using bovine serum albumin as standard.

The *in vitro* activity of NotB against 1, 8, 10, 13, and a number of other synthetic notoamide pathway intermediates including notoamide T (15), brevianamide F, deoxybrevianamide E, and 6-OH-deoxybrevianamide E (see SI) was tested in the presence of NADH/NADPH. The only reaction observed was for 13, which generated two products of greater polarity (Figure 3A), both with a molecular weight 16 amu greater than



Figure 3. HPLC analysis (240 nm) of *in vitro* NotB reaction using notoamide E (13) as substrate. The 100 μ L reaction containing 1 μ M NotB, 200 μ M 13, and 2.5 mM NADPH was performed at 28 °C for 1 (a), 5 (b), 15 (c), and 50 min (d). Traces (e)–(h) show analysis of authentic standards of 13, 11, 14, and 12, respectively. The asterisks denote a minor artifact from reaction mixture.

that of 13. Co-injection of the synthetic standards of 11, 12, and 14 with products of the NotB reaction mixture confirmed 12 and 11 (\sim 16:1) as the major and minor oxidative products,

Journal of the American Chemical Society

respectively. Although a biosynthetic pathway from 13 to 11, 12, and 14 via a non-stereospecific epoxidation and subsequent pinacol rearrangement was previously proposed,^{4b} reexamination of spectral data and reasonable biosynthetic principles have led us to revise the C3 stereochemistry for previously defined notoamide C (11) and epi-notoamide C (14) (shown in Figure 2; also see SI). Notably, the in vitro NotB reaction did not produce 14, which has been previously isolated from a precursor incorporation experiment with 13 as an added substrate, but not directly from fermentation extracts of Aspergillus sp. MF297-2.^{7a} This suggests that 14 might be an artifact arising from excess 13, or alternatively, 14 might be generated adventitiously from an undefined FMO (NotI) that is highly related to NotB in the same gene cluster (see below). Further analysis demonstrated that only NADPH (not NADH) is capable of supporting NotB activity. By monitoring the consumption of substrate, the apparent reaction velocity at 200 μ M 13 was determined to be 34 ± 3 μ M/min (see SI). The steady-state Michaelis-Menten kinetics of NotB could not be ascertained due to significant substrate inhibition and limited aqueous solubility of hydrophobic 13. However, the apparent specificity constant (k_{cat}/K_m) determined by fitting the lowconcentration (10-80 μ M) data to the linear region of the Michaelis-Menten curve was shown to be 8.70 μM^{-1} min⁻¹ (see SI).

Previously, the mechanism for generation of 11 and 12 from 13 was proposed to proceed via a non-stereospecific 2,3epoxidation of the indole followed by pinacol-type rearrangement (for 11)^{4b} (Figure 4A and SI). Ring-opening of the β -2,3epoxyindole intermediate 16a to the 3-hydroxyindolenine species 17a, followed by N-C ring closure from the diketopiperazinyl NH, generates pyrroloindole 12 (notoamide D) as the major product. As the minor product, our current data are consistent with 11 being derived from 16a via the pseudo-p-quinone methide species 18a and subsequent α -face migration of the prenyl group from C2 to C3 to quench the quinone methide (Figure 4A). In contrast, the α -face epoxide intermediate would lead to β -face migration of the prenyl group, resulting in 2-oxindole product 14. We would expect that the α -face epoxide intermediate 18a might also generate a diastereomer of 12 (epi-notoamide D, see SI). However, formation of 14 and epi-notoamide D was not observed, suggesting that NotB does not catalyze α -face epoxidation. In addition, formation of two sets of diastereomers is inconsistent with the typical high stereoselectivity of natural product biosynthetic enzymes.

Characterization of NotB as the notoamide E oxidase offered an opportunity to address the presumed catalytic mechanism for this unique biotransformation. Since involvement of the diketopiperazinyl N19-H represents a key feature in these alternative routes, we elected to synthesize the substrate analogue 19 (Figure 4A) with the N19 position methylated. Following conversion by NotB, a single oxidative product with observed mass $[M+H]^+$ = 463.20 (calc 463.24, 16 amu greater than MW of 13) was detected by LC-MS analysis (Figure 4C), confirmed to be N19-methylated notoamide C (20) by coinjection with the synthesized authentic standard (Figure 4C and SI). This result clearly indicates that NotB specifies β epoxidation because an additional isolable product derived from the highly unstable α -epoxide intermediate would otherwise be detected. Mechanistically, when N19 is methylated in 17b, the ring closure to form 12 is blocked, thus shifting the major



Figure 4. (A) New presumed mechanism for NotB based on its catalytic activity against 13 and 19. The revised structure of 11^{\dagger} is shown. (B) HPLC analysis of *in vitro* NotB reaction (10 h) using 19 as substrate: (a) NotB + 13; (b) authentic standard of 19; (c) authentic standard of 20; (d) NotB + 19; (e) sample (d) co-injected with authentic 20. The asterisk denotes an artifact in the reaction mixture.

pathway (from species 17b to 12) to exclusive formation of the oxindole product 20.

In summary, we have reconstituted NotB from the notoamide biosynthetic pathway, which efficiently and stereoselectively oxidizes 13 with predominant formation of 12 over 11 (\sim 16:1). In contrast, 11 and 14 instead of 12 were shown as major products (76% combined yield) in a previous biomimetic synthesis of 11 and 12 utilizing chemical oxidants.^{4b} This difference highlights the ability of NotB to provide greater control over the reaction selectivity than the chemical method. Using the synthetic substrate analogue 19, the NotB reaction was exclusively directed to formation of notoamide C analogue 20, indicating a β -face specific oxidative mechanism for NotB (Figure 4B) and the proposed revision to the previous structural assignment for 11 and 14 (see SI). However, final confirmation of the new mechanism and the structural basis for its β -face stereoselectivity awaits elucidation of the co-crystal structure of NotB with product 11 (or 14).

The inactivity of NotB toward **10** indicates this enzyme possesses stringent substrate specificity. In addition, the *in vivo*

Journal of the American Chemical Society

conversion from 6-OH-deoxybrevianamide E to notoamide J via a similar indole 2,3-epoxidation, supported by recent labeled precursor incorporation studies,^{7b} is unlikely due to the activity of NotB since 6-OH-deoxybrevianamide E failed to serve as a substrate for this flavin oxidase *in vitro* (see SI). However, the possibility that NotB may behave differently *in vivo* from the *in vitro* system cannot be excluded.

Finally, another FMO gene *notI* resides in the notoamide gene cluster, whose protein product (NotI) is highly similar to NotB with 42% protein sequence identity and 59% similarity. Thus, their possible functional and structural similarity and evolutionary relationship is of significant interest. Moreover, the NotB homologue Af12060^{8b} (33/48%: *Id/Sim*%) involved in fumiquinazoline biosynthesis in *A. fumigutas* Af293 was found to oxidize the 2,3-double bond of the indole group of fumiquinazoline F, suggesting this might be a general strategy adopted by filamentous fungi to oxidize indole moieties. In contrast, another NotB homologue TqaE^{8c} with a closer relationship (45/63%: *Id/Sim*%) in tryptoquialanine biosynthesis in *Penicillium aethiopicum* was recently reported to catalyze *N*-hydroxylation, reflecting a divergently evolved function of this FMO subfamily.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Experimental methods, SDS–PAGE result of purified NotB, flavin cofactor identification, UV–vis spectra of 11–14, NotB kinetic curves, results of the precursor feeding and incorporation experiments using the $[^{13}C]_2-[^{15}N]_2$ quadruply labeled 10, synthesis of 19 and 20, structure reassignment for 11 and 14, comparative circular dichroism analysis, and schemes showing the previous reaction mechanism and other synthetic compounds analyzed as substrates in this work. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

davidhs@umich.edu; rmw@lamar.colostate.edu

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by NIH grant R01 CA070375 and the Hans W. Vahlteich Professorship (D.H.S.). Anonymous reviewers are gratefully acknowledged for thoughtful comments and suggestions. This paper is dedicated to Prof. Gilbert Stork on the occasion of his 90th birthday.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Williams, R. M.; Stocking, E. M.; Sanz-Cervera, J. F. Top. Curr. Chem. 2000, 209, 97. (b) Li, S.-M. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2010, 27, 57. (c) Li, S.-M. J. Antibiot. 2011, 64, 45.

(2) (a) Qian-Cutrone, J.; Huang, S.; Shu, Y.-Z.; Vyas, D.; Fairchild, C.; Menendez, A.; Krampitz, K.; Dalterio, R.; Klohr, S. E.; Gao, Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 14556. (b) Wulff, J. E.; Siegrist, R.; Myers, A. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 14444. (c) Blanchflower, S. E.; Banks, R. M.; Everett, J. R.; Manger, B. R.; Reading, C. J. Antibiot. 1991, 492. (d) Miller, K. A.; Figueroa, M.; Valente, M. W.; Greshock, T. J.; Mata, R.; Williams, R. M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2008, 18, 6479. (e) Birch, A. J.; Wright, J. J. Tetrahedron 1970, 26, 2329. (f) Paterson, R. R. M.; Simmonds, M. J. S.; Kemmelmeier, C.; Blaney, W. M. Mycol. Res. 1990, 94, 538. (g) Whyte, A. C.; Gloer, J. B. J. Nat. Prod. 1996, 1093.

(3) (a) Kato, H.; Yoshida, T.; Tokue, T.; Nojiri, Y.; Hirota, H.; Ohta, T.; Williams, R. M.; Tsukamoto, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 2254. (b) Tsukamoto, S.; Kato, H.; Samizo, M.; Nojiri, Y.; Onuki, H.;

Hirota, H.; Ohta, T. J. Nat. Prod. 2008, 71, 2064. (c) Greshock, T. J.; Grubbs, A. W.; Jiao, P.; Wicklow, D. T.; Gloer, J. B.; Williams, R. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3573. (d) Tsukamoto, S.; Kawabata, T.; Kato, H.; Greshock, T. J.; Hirota, H.; Ohta, T.; Williams, R. M. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1297. (e) Tsukamoto, S.; Kato, H.; Greshock, T. J.; Hirota, H.; Ohta, T.; Williams, R. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 3834. (f) Tsukamoto, S.; Umaoka, H.; Yoshikawa, K.; Ikeda, T.; Hirota, H. J. Nat. Prod. 2010, 73, 1438.

(4) (a) Sunderhaus, J. D.; Sherman, D. H.; Williams, R. M. Isr. J. Chem. 2011, 51, 442.
(b) Grubbs, A. W.; Artman, G. D. III; Tsukamoto, S.; Williams, R. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 2257.
(c) Greshock, T. J.; Grubbs, A. W.; Tsukamoto, S.; Williams, R. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 2262.

(5) Ding, Y.; de Wet, J. R.; Cavalcoli, J.; Li, S.; Greshock, T. J.; Miller, K. A.; Finefield, J. M.; Sunderhaus, J. D.; McAfoos, T. J.; Tsukamoto, S.; Williams, R. M.; Sherman, D. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, *132*, 12733.

(6) (a) Finefield, J. M.; Williams, R. M. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 2785.
(b) McAfoos, T. J.; Li, S.; Tsukamoto, S.; Sherman, D. H.; Williams, R. M. Heterocycles 2010, 82, 461. (c) Williams, R. M.; Glinka, T.; Kwast, E.; Coffman, H.; Stille, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 808.

(7) (a) Tsukamoto, S.; Kato, H.; Greshock, T. J.; Hirota, H.; Ohta, T.; Williams, R. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009, 131, 3834. (b) Finefield, J. M.; Sherman, D. H.; Tsukamoto, S.; Williams, R. M. *J. Org. Chem.* 2011, 76, 5954.

(8) (a) Williams, R. M.; Stocking, E. M.; Sanz-Cervera, J. F. Top. Curr. Chem. 2000, 209, 97. (b) Ames, B. D.; Liu, X.; Walsh, C. T. Biochemistry 2010, 49, 8564. (c) Gao, X.; Chooi, Y.-H.; Ames, B. D.; Wang, P.; Walsh, C. T.; Tang, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 2729. (d) Haynes, S.; Ames, B. D.; Gao, X.; Tang, Y.; Wlash, C. T. Biochemistry 2011, 50, 5668. (e) Ames, B. D.; Haynes, S. W.; Gao, X.; Evans, B. S.; Kelleher, N. L.; Tang, Y.; Walsh, C. T. Biochemistry 2011, 50, 8756.