

A Journal of the Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker A DOCH International Edition Market Chemiker CDCh Chemiker Ch

Accepted Article

- Title: Unexpected Activities of CYP152 Peroxygenases towards Noncarboxylic Substrates Reveal Novel Substrate Recognition Mechanism and Catalytic Versatility
- Authors: Yuanyuan Jiang, Piqian Gong, Zijia Li, Zhong Li, Yuxuan Li, Binju Wang, He Huang, Wei Peng, Xiang Gao, and Shengying Li

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2025, e202506614

Link to VoR: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202506614

WILEY ... VCH

Unexpected Activities of CYP152 Peroxygenases towards Noncarboxylic Substrates Reveal Novel Substrate Recognition Mechanism and Catalytic Versatility

Yuanyuan Jiang⁺,^[a,b] Piqian Gong⁺,^[a] Zijia Li⁺,^[a,c] Zhong Li,^[a] Yuxuan Li,^[a] Binju Wang,^[d] He Huang^{*},^[a,c] Wei Peng^{*},^[a] Xiang Gao^{*},^[a] and Shengying Li^{*}

[a]	Dr. Y. Jiang, P. Gong, Dr. Z. Li, Y. Li, Prof. Dr. W. Peng, Prof. Dr. X. Gao, Prof. Dr. S. Li
	State Key Laboratory of Microbial Technology
	Shandong University
	No. 72 Binhai Road, Qingdao, Shandong 266237, China
	E-mail: weipeng@sdu.edu.cn; xgao@email.sdu.edu.cn; lishengying@sdu.edu.cn
[b]	Dr. Y. Jiang, Prof. Dr. S. Li
	Laboratory for Marine Biology and Biotechnology, Qingdao Marine Science and Technology Center
	Qingdao, Shandong 266237, China
[c]	Z. Li, Prof. Dr. H. Huang
	School of Food Science and Pharmaceutical Engineering, State Key Laboratory of Microbial Technology
	Najing Normal University
	Nanjing, 210046, China
	E-mail: huangh@njnu.edu.cn
[d]	Prof. Dr. B. Wang
	State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry of Solid Surface and Fujian Provincial Key Laboratory of Theoretical and Computational Chemistry, College
	of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering
	Xiamen University
	Xiamen 361005, China
[*]	These authors contributed equally.
	Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

Abstract: Exploring and exploiting the catalytic promiscuity of enzymes is a central topic and captivating challenge in enzymology. CYP152 peroxygenases are attractive biocatalysts for diverse reactions under mild conditions using H₂O₂ as cofactor. However, their substrate scope is limited by a carboxyl group required for substrate assisted acid-base catalysis, following the well-accepted principle that heme-dependent H₂O₂-utilizing enzymes employ a carboxyl group within their active sites to facilitate H₂O₂ activation. Herein, we reveal for the first time that several CYP152 family members can directly degrade various aromatic pollutants without any carboxyl group, exhibiting novel aromatic hydroxylation and dehalogenation activities. Through crystal structure analysis, isotope tracing experiments, and QM/MM calculations, we elucidate that the phenolic hydroxyl group activated by electron-withdrawing substituent(s) functionally replaces the carboxyl group, forming hydrogen bonds with the conserved arginine leading to Compound I formation. The oxygen atom of the newly formed hydroxyl group originates from water, bypassing the conventional oxygen rebound step. These findings provide first insights into the mechanisms of P450 peroxygenases towards non-carboxylic substrates, expanding our knowledge of biological C-H activation and C-halogen bond cleavage beyond canonical P450 reactions. This discovery holds immense potential for harnessing these enzymes in innovative strategies for industrial biocatalysis and environmental remediation.

Introduction

The capability of a single enzyme to catalyze diverse and mechanistically distinct reactions is pivotal in enabling its host organism's past adaptation to external stimuli and continues to be observed in contemporary enzymes.^[1] Given the importance of biocatalytic promiscuity in nature, exploring and engineering enzymes for improved catalytic promiscuity holds the key to broadening the realm of enzymatic synthesis^[2] and hence the promise for both biomedical and biotechnological applications.^[3] This endeavor can also spur the advances in fine-tuning existing enzymatic activities, and even more profoundly, facilitate the discovery and development of novel catalytic abilities.^[4]

WILEY VCH



B Previous work: the carboxyl group assisted catalysis



Natural reactions: fatty acid substrate assisted catalysis

C This work: new activities without the involvement of carboxyl group





Cytochrome P450 monooxygenases (P450s or CYPs) are versatile biocatalysts that excel in oxyfunctionalization of diverse substrates with different kinds of C-H bonds.^[5] Nonetheless, practical utilization of P450s in vitro remains constrained due to their pronounced reliance on NAD(P)H as electron supplier and a sophisticated network of electron transport proteins (i.e., redox partners) for the catalytically required O₂ activation.^[6] In contrast, P450 peroxygenases stand out as appealing biocatalysts for selective oxidation of organic molecules under mild conditions with hydrogen peroxide as the sole oxygen and electron source.^[7] The so-called peroxide shunt pathway (Figure S1) offers a more straightforward and cost-effective route to bypassing the intricate electron-delivering pathway in normal P450 catalysis. Consequently, the unique catalytic properties of this class of H₂O₂-utilizing enzymes have ignited intense interests in recent years, propelling the expansion of their catalytic repertoire and fostering their potential of applications across various disciplines.[7-8]

P450_{SPα}-A245E

 H_2O_2

R₃=CH₃-C₇H₁₅

Introduction of a carboxyl group via A245E mutation

Decoy molecule assisted catalysis



Figure 2. The activities of CYP152 peroxygenases towards non-carboxylic substrate **1**. (A), HPLC analysis of the hydroxylation reactions of **1** catalyzed by three representative CYP152 peroxygenases. (B), The activities of three representative CYP152 peroxygenases towards **1** in the presence and absence of 2 mM myristic acid. (C), Five phenylalanine residues in the active site of $P450_{BS\beta}$ subject to alanine scanning. (D), The activities of the wild-type (WT) and mutant $P450_{BS\beta}$ towards **1**. Reaction conditions: 1 μ M P450, 500 μ M **1**, and the AldO/glycerol system (5 μ M/10 %) to generate H₂O₂ *in situ* at 30 °C for 6 h. The error bars represent the standard deviations for three independent experiments.

P450 In comparison, a vast majority of native monooxygenases lack an acid-base pair of amino acid residues situated at the distal position of the heme reactive center, which is a common principle among heme-dependent H₂O₂-utilizing enzymes (e.g., peroxidases, peroxygenases) that activate hydrogen peroxide to form the highly reactive species Compound I (Cpd I).^[7a, 9] Of note, a group of P450s (e.g., CYP152 peroxygenases) are capable of using H₂O₂ through a substrate-assisted reaction mechanism.^[7b, 8f] The structures of CYP152 peroxygenase in complex with fatty acid substrate have shed molecular insight into the carboxyl group (of fatty acid) assisted substrate recognition and H2O2-activation with the conserved arginine residue (e.g., R242 for P450_{BSβ}) and unique catalytic mechanism (Figure 1A).^[10] The representative examples of CYP152 peroxygenases, possessing the ability to catalyze the hydroxylation or decarboxylation of fatty acids with H_2O_2 , primarily include the fatty acid hydroxylases P450_{SPa} and P450_{BS6}, and fatty acid decarboxylase OleT_{JE} (Figures 1B, S2).[11]

Despite well-expanded substrate scope and notable enhancement in activity diversity,^[12] it is widely accepted that CYP152 peroxygenases are unable to use H_2O_2 to initiate their reaction in absence of the specific enzyme-substrate interaction via the guanidyl-carboxyl pairing. The carboxylic substrates are deemed to serve dual roles as both initiators and activators of the reaction.^[7a] Nonetheless, inspired by the salt bridge formation between the substrate carboxyl group and R242, P450_{BSB} was enabled to oxidize non-carboxylic substrates (e.g., styrene, ethylbenzene) in the presence of a decoy molecule that mimics the fatty acid structure in the active site (Figure 1B).[13] Similar to decoy molecules, the substrate scope of P450_{SPa} was expanded by introducing a carboxylate group of glutamic acid into the active site nearing heme; the resulted A245E mutant achieved styrene epoxidation.[9b] These examples showcase successful broadening of the substrate scope of CYP152 peroxygenases through introduction of an acid-base catalyst in the active site by amino acid substitution or the use of decoy molecules. To the best of our knowledge, the catalytic repertoire of CYP152 peroxygenases has been limited to the reactions relying on the key carboxyl group in the active site to facilitate H₂O₂ activation, thus posing constrains on exploring the diversity of substrate types and catalytic potentials, particularly in the uncharted area of non-carboxylic substrates.

Recently, a class of benzene ring-containing small molecules was reported to enhance the catalytic activity of $OleT_{SA}$ towards both hydrocinnamic acid and bromophenyl propionic acid, underscoring a flexible regulatory interaction between the P450 active center and small molecule modulator.^[14] However, the precise manner how these modulators influence the catalytic activity of the P450

peroxygenase remained unclear. Moreover, a select number of P450 engineering studies revealed that the free space proximal to the heme-iron is crucial for the ability to utilize H_2O_2 .^[15]

In this study, we delved into the catalytic promiscuity of CYP152 peroxygenases and, strikingly, identified 2.4dichlorophenol (1) as the first non-carboxylic substrate that can be directly recognized by native P450 peroxygenases without any helper molecule (Figure 1C). Through engineering the substrate access channel, broadening the substrate scope, and identifying key catalytic groups, we unlocked the novel catalytic activities of CYP152 peroxygenases for aromatic hydroxylation and dehalogenation towards a wide range of non-carboxylic substrates including halophenols, nitrophenols, and cyanphenols. We also elucidated novel mechanisms for substrate recognition and oxidative modification of the non-carboxylic substrates using X-ray crystallography, ¹⁸O isotopic tracing experiments, and quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics (QM/MM) calculations.

Results and Discussion

Discovery of the activity of CYP152 peroxygenases towards non-carboxylic substrates

Drawing inspiration from the significant enhancement of P450 peroxygenase activity by small molecules containing a benzene ring and by altering heme environment,[14-15] we examined a select number of commercially available aromatic derivatives with varying substituents (-OH, -Cl, -SH, -NH₂, -COOH, and -OCH₃) as potential activity modulators by evaluating the catalytic performance of OleT_{JE}, P450_{BSB} and P450_{SPg} against myristic acid as substrate in the presence of each individual aromatic compounds (Figure S3). Despite no expected modulating effects, strikingly, both OleT_{JE} and P450_{BSB} substantially hydroxylated the non-carboxylic substrate 2,4dichlorophenol (1) at the ortho-position, producing 3.5dichlorocatechol (2) regardless of the presence or absence of the fatty acid substrate (Figures 2A, 2B, S4-S5). Both conversion ratios were above 20% (Figure 2B) when using 5 μ M AldO/10% glycerol as the in situ H₂O₂-releasing system.^[12d] These results essentially challenge the "common sense" that the catalytic activity of CYP152 peroxygenases requires a carboxyl group (from substrate, decoy molecule or mutated amino acid residue) for substrate recognition and hydrogen peroxide activation.[16]

Engineering substrate access channel for activity improvement

We chose $P450_{BS\beta}$ for **1** hydroxylation activity improvement owing to its superior activity and stability.^[17] The wild-type enzyme features a narrow and elongated substrate access channel to accommodate its natural fatty acid substrates. Considering that an aromatic substrate likely favors more spacious substrate entrance channel and active site, we conducted alanine scanning for the five space-constraining phenylalanine residues including Phe46, Phe79, Phe173, Phe289 and Phe292 (Figure 2C). As expected, all the mutants (*i.e.*, P450_{BSβ}-F46A, P450_{BSβ}-F79A, P450_{BSβ}-F173A, P450_{BSβ}-F289A and P450_{BSβ}-F292A) exhibited a significant increase in their activity towards **1**. In particular, P450_{BSβ}-F46A efficiently converted **1** into **2** with the highest conversion ratio of 95.9%, alongside a marked enhancement in substrate binding affinity (K_D = 7.6 µM) compared to the wild-type P450_{BSβ} (K_D = 73.2 µM, Figures 2D, S6-S9). However, no synergistic effect was observed in the dual combinations of these F-to-A mutations (Figure S10). Thus, P450_{BSβ}-F46A was selected for the following studies.

Determination of non-carboxylic substrate specificity of P450_{\text{BS}\beta}\text{-}F46A

To investigate the non-carboxylic substrate specificity, we initially tested the activity of P450_{BSβ}-F46A towards a number of halogen-free phenolic substrates including phenol (3), catechol (4), 2,4-dimethylphenol (5) and 2-methoxy-phenol (6), as well as non-phenolic halogenated benzene derivatives 1,3-2,4-dichloranisole dichlorobenzene (7), (8). 2.4dichlorobenzenethiol (9) and 2,4-dichlorobenzoic acid (10). The in vitro activity assays indicated that the non-carboxylic substrates devoid of either the phenolic hydroxyl group or halogen substituent failed to undergo enzymatic transformation, suggesting their indispensability for the catalytic activity of P450_{BS6}-F46A (Figures 3, S11-S18).

Next, a broader range of halogen phenol derivatives were examined (Figures 3, S19-S43, Tables S2-S4). For monohalogenated phenols, P450_{BSβ}-F46A preferentially catalyzed para- and ortho-hydroxylation of 2-chlorophenol (11) and 3chlorophenol (12), respectively. By contrast, hydroxylation of 4chlorophenol (13), 2-chloro-4-methylphenol (14), and 4-chloro-2methylphenol (15) exclusively occurred at the ortho-position. The highest conversion ratio of 52.4% was achieved by 12. Notably, the presence of an electron-donating methyl group negatively impacted the catalytic efficiency, resulting in significantly lower conversion ratios for 14 and 15. As for dihalogenated phenols 1, 2,4-dibromophenol (16), and 2,4diiodophenol (17), P450_{BSβ}-F46A demonstrated significantly higher activities and absolute ortho-hydroxylation selectivity compared to mono-halogenated phenols. Furthermore, P450_{BSB}-F46A showed the highest conversion ratio of 96.6% towards the tri-halogenated phenol 2,4,5-trichlorophenol (18). Taken together, it is evident that the presence of more halogen substituents led to higher substrate conversions (Figure 3).

Intriguingly, the coupled dehalogenation/hydroxylation reactions were observed when three halogen atoms occupy the *para*- and both *ortho*-positions of the carboxyl-free phenol substrates, as exemplified by tri-halogenated phenols 2,4-dichloro-6-fluorophenol (**19**, Figure 1C), 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (**20**), 2,4-dichloro-6-bromophenol (**21**) and 2,4-dichloro-6-iodophenol (**22**). The apparent replacement of *ortho*-halogen with a hydroxyl group occurred with a declining efficiency in the order F > Cl > Br (Figures 3, S44-S55, Table S5). Among them, P450_{BSP}-F46A showed the highest activity against **19**, producing **2** at a 58.9% conversion ratio.

In consideration of the key role played by phenolic hydroxyl group and varying halogen substituents in substrate recognition,

s and Conditi

s-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for

rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

we further examined the catalytic activity of $P450_{BS\beta}$ -F46A towards the carboxyl-free substrates that harbor alternative electron-withdrawing substituents, namely nitro and cyanide groups (Figures 3, S56-S65, Table S6). The P450 peroxygenase effectively recognized these compounds including 2-hydroxybenzonitrile (**23**), 3-fluoro-4-hydroxybenzonitrile (**24**) and 2-nitrophenol (**25**), catalyzing either *ortho*- or *para*-hydroxylation. These results consolidate the importance of the electron-withdrawing substituents.

Mechanistic analysis of aromatic hydroxylation and dehalogenation/hydroxylation catalyzed by P450 $_{\text{BS}\beta}\text{-}F46A$

To elucidate the mechanisms for P450 $_{BS\beta}$ -F46A mediated ortho-

hydroxylation of **1** and *ortho*-dehalogenation/hydroxylation of **19** leading to the same product **2**, we first verified that **2** was the final stable product without other detectable intermediates by time-course experiments (Figures S66-S68).

Subsequently, we sought to determine the crystal structures of both substrate-free and substrate-bound forms of $P450_{BS\beta}$ -F46A. However, despite our utmost efforts, effective removal of endogenous palmitic acid from the active site of the crystallized $P450_{BS\beta}$ mutant proved unattainable, similar to previous studies on CYP152 structures.^[8I, 12b] Thus, we determined the complex structure of $P450_{BS\beta}$ -F46A with palmitic acid (PDB accession code: 9IY1) at a resolution of 2.3 Å (Figure 4A, Table S7), which was utilized for further analysis. The structural alignment of $P450_{BS\beta}$ -F46A and the wild-type $P450_{BS\beta}$ ($P450_{BS\beta}$ -WT)

Unreactive substrates



Figure 3. Catalytic activities of P450_{BSB}-F46A towards non-carboxylic substrates. A typical reaction containing 1 µM P450_{BSB}-F46A, 0.5 mM substrate, and the AldO-glycerol based H₂O₂ releasing system in 200 µL reaction buffer (pH 7.4, 50 mM NaH₂PO₄) was carried out at 30 °C for 6 h. The relative ratios between the *ortho*- (o) and *para*-hydroxylation (p) are indicated. Notes: [a] 2 mM substrate, [b] 1 mM substrate. See Supplementary materials for details. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

WILEY _ VCH

structures (PDB accession code: 1IZO)^[10a] reveals highly similar conformation, with a root mean square deviation (RMSD) of 0.282 Å over 372 C α atoms (Figure 4B). However, compared to P450_{BSβ}-WT, the F46A substitution effectively expands the distal substrate access channel (Figures 4C, 4D, S69), thereby facilitating the accessibility of aromatic substrates.

To better understand the interaction mechanism of $P450_{BS\beta}$ -F46A with non-carboxylic substrates, we removed palmitic acid from the active site *in silico*. Molecular docking was further conducted using AutoDockTools $1.5.6^{[18]}$ to predict the binding modes of **1** and **19** in P450_{BSβ}-F46A. The docking results revealed that **1** and **19** exhibited similar binding modes, characterized by the formation of stable hydrogen bonds between the phenolic hydroxyl group and residue R242, with bond lengths of 2.9 Å and 3.0 Å, respectively (Figures 4E, 4F). Additionally, the halogen atoms of the substrates establish



Figure 4. Structural analysis of P450_{BSP}-F46A. (A), Overall structure of P450_{BSP}-F46A (PDB accession code: 9IY1). The heme group (grey) and palmitic acid (green) are shown as sticks. (B), Structural overlay of P450_{BSP}-F46A and wild-type P450_{BSP} with the modified region amplified. (C and D), Comparative analysis of the crystal structures of P450_{BSP}-F46A (C) and P450_{BSP} (D) at the same view to highlight their different substrate access channels. The channels are determined using the Caver 3.0.3 plugin in PyMOL 2.3.2 and shown in cyan. The central point of the distal channel is denoted by red dots, with 'R' representing its radius in angstrom (Å). (E and F), Structures of P450_{BSP}-F46A with docked substrate **1** (E) and **19** (F) in the active site, retaining the heme-bound water. Key interacting amino acids are labelled and shown as sticks, including R242 involved in polar interactions, F173 and F289 engaged in halogen- π interactions, and hydrophobic amino acids for hydrophobic interactions (within a 4.5 Å distance range). The carbon atoms of both substrates are depicted in green, chlorine atoms in yellow, fluorine atom in light blue, and hydroxyl groups in red. Purple dashed lines represent hydrogen bonds, while black dashed lines indicate halogen- π bonds in angstrom. (G), The active site of P450_{BSP}-F46A in complex with palmitic acid. Residues mediating the interactions between palmitic acid and P450_{BSP}-F46A are labelled and shown as sticks and polar interactions are indicated by purple dashed lines in angstrom. (H), Catalytic activities of different R242 mutants of P450_{BSP}-F46A. Reaction conditions: 1 μ M P450, 500 μ M **1** or **19**, and the AldO/glycerol system to generate H₂O₂ at 30 °C for 6 h. The error bars represent the standard deviations for three independent experiments.

halogen- π interactions with the surrounding phenylalanine residues. Specifically, the C4-Cl of substrate 1 interacts with F173 and F289, while the C4-Cl of substrate 19 interacts with F173 and both C2-Cl and C4-Cl show halogen- π interactions with F289. Consequently, the C6-H of 1 and C6-F of 19 are positioned above the heme iron (at 5.6 and 5.4 Å, respectively) along with a water molecule (Figures 4E, 4F). Moreover, hydrophobic interactions are also observed between substrates and surrounding hydrophobic amino acid residues (Figures 4E, 4F). These binding modes are distinct from that of palmitic acid, whose carboxyl group interacts with R242 with the distances between the two oxygen atoms of carboxyl group and the guanidyl group being 2.6 and 2.9 Å, respectively (Figure 4G). Beyond electrostatic interaction, the fatty acid substrate is further stabilized by hydrophobic interactions with the alkyl side chain of surrounding hydrophobic residues including L42, L70, V74, L78, F79, F173, F289, F292 and L293 (Figure 4G). This specific binding mode positions the linear substrate almost perpendicular to the heme plane, with the C_{α} and C_{β} carbons situated closest to the heme iron (at 4.6 and 5.3 Å, respectively; Figure 4G). The interaction between the guanidyl group of R242 and halogenated phenolic hydroxyl group derived from a noncarboxylic substrate demonstrates a novel substrate recognition and binding mechanism, presenting an unprecedented perspective on the acid-base catalysis of heme-dependent H₂O₂-utilizing enzymes.^[7a] Site-directed mutagenesis highlighted the pivotal role of the conserved arginine residue in facilitating the catalytic process of non-carboxylic substrates. Specifically, substituting this basic R242 in P450_{BS6}-F46A with a nonpolar, neutral or acidic amino acid (yielding R242A, R242S, and R242E variants), led to complete activity abolishment (Figures 4H, S70-S73). By contrast, R242K mutant with an analogous replacement by another basic residue lysine retained approximately 20% activity, suggesting that R242 should still act as an acid-base catalyst during the enzymatic transformation of 1 and 19.

To unravel the catalytic mechanisms of P450_{BSB}-F46Amediated 2 formation from ortho-hydroxylation of 1, combined molecular dynamics (MD) simulations and quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics (QM/MM) calculations were conducted. MD simulations indicate that both hydrogen bond networks (Figures 4E, 4F) are fairly stable, facilitating the formation of a hydrogen bond between the substrate -OH group and the distal O2 of H₂O₂ (Figure S74). The catalytic mechanism was investigated based on the MD equilibrated conformation. First, the direct homolytic cleavage of Fe(III)---H₂O₂ (Figure S75) and proximal proton transfer from H₂O₂ to the substrate's chlorine ion (Figure S76) was excluded. Then, we investigated the possible route that the substrate's hydroxyl group may drive the O-O cleavage of H₂O₂ via a proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET) process. QM/MM calculations support that such reaction pathway is accessible, involving a barrier of 21.8 kcal/mol (RC_[1] \rightarrow TS1_[1], Figures 5A, S77), generating Fe(IV)-OH⁻ and H₂O with an exothermic release of 13.8 kcal/mol (IC1_[1]). The generated H₂O subsequently facilitates the hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) from Fe(IV)-OH- to the substrate radical, forming Fe(IV)=O2-(Cpd I). This step involves a small barrier of 3.4 kcal/mol and exothermicity of 27.6 kcal/mol (IC1_[1] \rightarrow IC2_[1], Figures 5A, S77). Thus, our computations identify a novel mechanism for P450_{BSβ}-F46A catalyzed oxidation of **1** that involves the substrate OH group assisted generation of Cpd I via a PCET process.

Next, we comprehensively evaluated the effects of R242 and substrate during the formation of Cpd I. First, QM model calculations indicate that the energy barrier for H₂O₂ cleavage without R242 (ΔE^{\neq} = 21.1 kcal/mol) is ~4 kcal/mol higher than that with R242 (ΔE^{\neq} = 17.3 kcal/mol), confirming that this arginine residue enhances the acid-base catalysis of the substrate OH group (Figure S78). In addition, the mutant P450_{BS6}-F46A-R242A displayed a reduced affinity for 1, as evidenced by the higher K_D value than that of P450_{BSB}-F46A, indicating that the arginine also contributes to substrate binding (Figure S79, Table S9). Of note, we noticed that in the presence of non-carboxylic substrates, the hydroxyl group of the substrate is required for the activation of H₂O₂ through PCET, leading to the formation of Cpd I (Figure 5A). Thus, there arises a natural question: does the presence of a hydroxyl group in substrate suffice? Despite the substrate OH group is necessary for Cpd I generation, we found that 5 with an OH group failed to undergo a reaction with P450 $_{\text{BS}\beta}\text{-}\text{F46A}$ (Figure 3, Table S9). To understand this result, we compared the acidity of 1, 5, 13, and 18 in the presence of R242. QM calculations show that proton dissociation energies of 18 and 1 are much lower than those of 13 and 5 (Figure 5B). This correlation is also evident in the pKa values of these substituted phenols (Table S10). This is likely because electron-withdrawing substituents (e.g., halogen, nitro groups) could enhance the acidity of the phenolic hydroxyl group and stabilize the delocalized electron of phenoxy group.^[19] Thus, both R242 and electron-withdrawing substituents are key to the acidity of substrate OH group, which in turn enhances the PCET process for Cpd I generation (Figure S80).

To test the possibility that the deprotonated form (phenoxide) of substrate might act as the reactive species, we performed MD and QM/MM calculations. A 200 ns MD simulation reveals that the substrate's phenolate oxygen (O⁻) forms strong hydrogen bonds with R242, anchoring the substrate in a fixed orientation. Moreover, the distal hydrogen of H₂O₂ establishes a relatively stable hydrogen bond with the substrate's O⁻ (Figure S81). Based on this favorable conformation, we further conducted QM/MM simulations for the generation of Cpd I. Our results showed that the homolytic cleavage of the O-O bond in H2O2 requires overcoming an energy barrier of 46.9 kcal/mol (TS1a_[1]), suggesting that the reaction should be infeasible (Figure S82). Additionally, the alternative pathway involving the proton transfer from the distal H₂O₂ to the substrate, *en route* to Cpd 0 intermediate (IC1a'₁₁₁), requires overcoming a high energy barrier of 26.3 kcal/mol (TS1a'_[1]) (Figure S83). These findings suggest that, although the deprotonated substrate may well bind in the active site, it is not conducive to Cpd I formation. Supporting this, when the phenolic hydroxyl group was substituted with a thiol or carboxyl group (i.e., 2,4-dichlorobenzenethiol (9) and 2,4-dichlorobenzoic acid (10), which are supposed to exist predominantly as the deprotonated form under neutral conditions), no reactivity was observed for these two substrates (Figure 3). Taken together, the

15213773, ja, Downloaded from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/anie.202506614 by

rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons

phenoxide form is unlikely involved in the catalytic process. Indeed, the absence of a potential basic residue in proximity of the heme may be unfavorable for deprotonation of the phenolic hydroxyl group in the active site.

After the formation of Cpd I, classical dehaloperoxidases can proceed to form Cpd II via either electron transfer or PCET pathways.^[20] However, due to the absence of any proton-acceptor residues including aspartic acid, glutamic acid, histidine, and tyrosine within 6 Å away from the substrate, the hydroxylation/dehalogenation mechanism of P450_{BSβ}-F46A likely differs from that of classical dehaloperoxidases.^[20] In the Cpd I

complex system, we observed that a stable water bridge exists between the O1 atom of Cpd I and the hydroxyl group of the substrate. The bridge water is initially generated from the homolytic cleavage of H₂O₂. However, MD simulations show this water can undergo exchange with the solvent water, which then participates in subsequent reactions (Figure S84). As shown in Figure 5C, starting from IC2'_[1], Cpd I readily accepts H1 atom of the substrate, overcoming an energy barrier of 7.9 kcal/mol (IC2'_[1] \rightarrow TS3_[1] in Figures 5C, S85) to form Cpd II and a semiquinone radical intermediate (IC3_[1]). Subsequent water conformational change undergoes a very low energy barrier (1.4



Figure 5. Mechanism of the aromatic hydroxylation of **1** catalyzed by P450_{BSB}-F46A. (A), QM/MM calculated mechanism (with energies in kcal/mol) for the formation of Cpd I by P450_{BSB}-F46A in the presence of the non-carboxylic substrate **1**. The phenolic hydroxyl group interacting with the guanidyl group of R242 is located above the heme. The key distances are given in angstrom (Å). (B), QM calculated relative energies (in kcal/mol) for the ability of **1**, **5**, **13**, and **18** to provide protons in the presence of R242. (C), QM/MM calculated mechanism for the formation of ene-ketone intermediate starting from Cpd I of P450_{BSB}-F46A, along with the QM/MM optimized structures of key species involved in the reactions. (D), QM calculated relative energies (in kcal/mol) for the water-mediated proton transfer for the generation of **2**.

8

kcal/mol), orienting H1 of the water towards O1 of Cpd II, which is more suitable for water cleavage. After overcoming an energy barrier of 18.5 kcal/mol, the reaction forms the ene-ketone intermediate $IC5_{[1]}$ with exothermicity of 18.5 kcal/mol. In contrast, the direct attack to substrate by Cpd II requires overcoming a significantly higher energy barrier of 45.0 kcal/mol (Figure S86). This is due to the long distance between O1 and C6 of the substrate (5.3 Å) and the presence of a water molecule between them, thus causing considerable steric hindrance.

Finally, in aqueous solution, $IC5_{[1]}$ undergoes intramolecular rearrangement to form the product **2** (Figure 5D). To validate the proposed reaction pathway in which the OH radical attacks the substrate after water cleavage, we performed isotope tracing experiments using $H_2^{18}O_2/H_2^{18}O$. It was clear that the hydroxyl oxygen atom of **2** does not originate from hydrogen peroxide; instead, LC-MS analysis indicated that it should be derived from

water (Figures S87-S89). Additionally, we employed metachloroperoxybenzoic acid (m-CPBA) as an alternative oxidant for P450_{BSβ}-F46A to generate Cpd I,^[5], 21] and observed the same product **2**. This result consolidates the existence and crucial role of Cpd I in catalyzing the reaction towards non-carboxylic substrates (Figures S90-S91).

For substrate **19**, the presence of H_2O molecules renders the catalytic mechanism highly similar to that of substrate **1**. The hydroxylation specifically occurs at the F-substituted C6 instead of the CI-substituted C2 (Figures 6, S92). This preference arises from the need to overcome a markedly higher energy barrier when attacking the CI-substituted C2 (30.4 kcal/mol). Despite the conformational alteration, the most critical factor lies in the Fsubstitution at C6, which significantly reduces the electronegativity of other C atoms and inhibits the coupling of OH radical to C2 (Figure 6A). We also investigated direct oxygen atom transfer (OAT) from Cpd I to the F-substituted C6 and CI-



Figure 6. Mechanism of the dehalogenation/hydroxylation of **19** catalyzed by P450_{BSP}-F46A. (A), QM/MM calculated mechanism for the formation of hydroxylation intermediate starting from Cpd I of P450_{BSP}-F46A. The phenolic hydroxyl group interacting with the guanidyl group of R242 is located above the heme, along with the QM/MM optimized structures of key species involved in the reactions. The key distances are given in angstrom (Å). (B), QM calculated energy barrier (in kcal/mol) for the water-mediated proton transfer to generate the quinone intermediate and HF. (C), QM calculated relative energies (in kcal/mol) for the hydrogen transfer from H₂O₂ to quinone for the generation of **2** and O₂.

substituted C2. QM/MM calculations indicate that both reaction pathways require very high energy barriers (~39 kcal/mol and 44 kcal/mol, Figures S93-S94), suggesting that OAT reactions without the involvement of H₂O might not occur in the P450_{BSB}-F46A/19 system. Furthermore, unlike hydroxyl-free aromatic fluorinated substrates that undergo dehalogenation via direct OAT reactions,^[22] hydroxyl-containing substrates appear more likely to undergo HAT reactions to form Cpd II, followed by hydroxylation of the substrate. After the formation of the hydroxylated intermediate IC5[19], solvent water molecules mediate proton transfer from the intermediate OH group to F-, leading to the formation of HF and a quinone intermediate (Figures 6B, S95-S96). This is similar with the defluorination of fluorophenols by heme dehaloperoxidase.^[23] After the formation of ortho-quinone (IC6-qm_[19]), we examined the possibility for the reduction of ortho-quinone to catechol by H₂O₂. Our QM model calculations indicate that HAT from H₂O₂ to ortho-quinone is a thermodynamically feasible process, leading to a hydroperoxyl radical and a semiguinone radical. Subsequently, the HOO' species reduces the semiquinone radical to the catechol product via HAT, with an almost negligible energy barrier (Figures 6C, S97-S98). The process is consistent with previous reports of HAT from the hydroperoxyl radical to ortho-quinones.[24] The isotopically labeled H218O2/H218O tracing experiments supported that the oxygen atom in 2 indeed originated from water. Furthermore, the experiments using m-CPBA as an oxidant indicated the involvement of Cpd I in the dehalogenation reaction of 19, aligning with our calculation results (Figures S87, S99-S101). Notably, the observed selectivity towards this specific dehalogenation product does not extend to other substrates such as 21. This phenomenon is primarily attributed to the energy barriers for attacking the CI-substituted C2 and Brsubstituted C6 are at a comparatively level, leading to the generation of two products in similar proportions (Figures 3, S102).

Overall, the catalytic mechanism involves the substitution of halogen groups on the benzene ring, thus facilitating the formation of an acid-base pair between the substrate and R242. The interaction promotes the acid-base catalytic activation of H₂O₂, leading to the formation of Cpd I. The process includes the following steps: (1) Initial proton transferred from the substrate hydroxyl group drives electron transfer, promoting the homolytic cleavage of H₂O₂, which is the rate-determining step. The acidity of the substrate substituent correlates positively with the promotion of the PCET process. (2) Cpd I mediates the HAT process from the substrate hydroxyl group to form Cpd II. (3) Cpd II then facilitates the cleavage of a water molecule, generating the resting state Fe(III)-H₂O and the ene-ketone intermediate, which is evidenced by the ¹⁸O tracing experiments showing that the hydroxyl oxygen atom in the product should originate from solvent water. (4) Finally, the ortho-hydroxylation products are formed in the presence of water molecules.

Catalytic activity and substrate binding affinity of CYP152 peroxygenases

To further evaluate the catalytic activity and application potential of CYP152 peroxygenases to deal with non-carboxylic

(TONs) of P450_{BSβ}-F46A when support releasing system consisting of AldO and glycerol ^[12d] (Table S8). Again, the results (Figure 3) further confirmed a positive correlation between the halogen content of the substrate and the catalytic efficiency, exemplified by the highest TON observed for the tri-halogenated substrate 18 (TON = 1832), outperforming that of the di-halogenated 1 (TON = 1607) and monohalogenated 13 (TON = 153), with a remarkable 12-fold enhancement over 13. This activity trend was also correlated with the pKa values and hydrogen peroxide utilization efficiencies of the substrates (Figures S103-S104, Tables S10-S11). Specifically, mono-halogenated phenols (e.g., 11-15) with relatively lower activities, have higher pKa values compared to those of di- and tri-halogenated phenols, indicative of their weaker acidity (Table S10). However, the hydrogen peroxide consumption rates of mono-halogenated phenols were lower than those of di- and tri-halogenated phenols (Table S11). Among these, the most active substrate 18 showed the highest H₂O₂ consumption rate (2.2 mM/min), and the lowest Michaelis-Menten constant (K_m = 2.3 mM). These results imply that **18** has superior affinity for H₂O₂ among the tested non-carboxylic substrates, similar to that of natural fatty acid substrate (lauric acid, $K_m = 3.0$ mM), and significantly higher than that of **13** ($K_m =$ 6.1 mM). Although both 18 and 20 are trichlorophenols, 20 displayed a markedly lower dehalogenation/hydroxylation activity than the aromatic hydroxylation activity of 18. Beyond the influence of substrate acidity as mentioned above, to rationalize these discrepancies on multiple dimensions, we assessed the substrate binding affinities (K_D) of these noncarboxylic substrates (Table S9, Figures S105-S108). As a result, the poorer substrate **20** was found to exhibit a higher binding affinity than **18** (K_D = 4.5 µM of **20** versus 12.9 µM of **18**). Interestingly, substrates 1 and 14 only differ in one substituent on the benzene ring, but the aromatic hydroxylation activity of P450_{BS6}-F46A to 14 (TON = 41) with a para-methyl group was much lower than that to 1 (TON = 1607) with a corresponding chlorine substituent (Figure 3, Table S8). This activity difference could not be explained by the insignificant variation in their dissociation constant values (K_D = 7.6 µM of **1** versus 4.0 µM of 14). Furthermore, substrates 14 and 15, which differ from each other solely in the positioning of their substituents on the benzene ring, showed a remarkable approximately twofold difference in catalytic activity, despite their comparable substrate binding affinities (K_D = 4.0 µM of **14** versus 4.6 µM of **15**). These results underscore the paramount importance of substituent positioning and electronic properties in dictating the catalytic efficiency of P450_{BS6}-F46A.

Conclusion

In this study, we discovered and engineered the ability of P450 peroxygenases to catalyze the oxidative transformations (aromatic *ortho-* and *para-*hydroxylation and oxidative dehalogenation/hydroxylation) of an array of non-carboxylic substrates for the first time, thus expanding the functions of the CYP152 family peroxygenases. These findings not only present

10.1002/anie.202506614

possibilities development of novel new for aromatic hydroxylases and dehalogenases, but also challenge the conventional concept that heme-dependent H₂O₂-utilizing enzymes necessitate a carboxyl group of different origins in the active site for acid-base catalytic activation of H₂O₂ (Figures 1A, 1B). The structure-function relationship (Figure 3) indicates the new activities derive from the phenolic hydroxyl group activated electron-withdrawing substituent(s), which functionally bv replaces the carboxyl group to maintain the acid-base catalysis. Regarding the inability of $P450_{SP\alpha}$ to mediate the transformation of non-carboxylic substrate 1, we were able to achieve the conversion of 1 to 2 by introducing classical short-chain fatty acids (e.g., C7-C10) as decoy molecules[13] into the enzyme reaction system (Figure S109). This finding suggests that P450_{SPa} might be unable to form Cpd I solely with substrate 1, potentially due to its narrower substrate binding pocket compared to other active CYP152 peroxygenases including $OleT_{JE}$ and P450_{BSB} (Figure S110). This structural difference may impede effective binding of 1 to the conserved arginine in the active site, which is crucial for H_2O_2 activation.

Mechanistically, this unusual catalysis is initiated by the involvement of a water molecule, which plays a crucial role in abstracting a hydrogen atom from the phenolic O-H bond, forming a phenoxy radical and Cpd II. Then, the phenoxy radical undergoes a rearrangement to form the semiquinone radical. Following the mediation of water cleavage by Cpd II, the oxygen atom of the newly formed hydroxyl group originating from water, bypasses the conventional oxygen rebound mechanism. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report detailing the waterdependent hydroxylation and dehalogenation reactions of halophenols catalyzed by a P450 enzyme so far, representing a mechanism fundamentally distinct from the canonical hydroxylation of aliphatic carboxylic acid by P450_{BSB} via typical C₆-H abstraction.^[25] Our finding underscores the emergence of CYP152 peroxygenases as a key enzyme resource for delving into catalytic versatility and promiscuity. The continuous discovery of new activities in this P450 family highlights the immense potential for innovative reactions and applications.

Of note, P450_{BS6}-F46A achieved a considerable TON of 1832 towards 18 with the in situ H₂O₂ releasing system, showcasing its potential as a novel and useful biocatalyst for degradation of environmental pollutants. The catechol products of halophenols derived from ortho-hydroxylation or orthodehalogenation/hydroxylation (Figure 3) are vital substrates in aromatic ring cleavage reactions in biodegradation pathways of many aromatic pollutants (Figure 1C).^[26] In contrast to previously reported P450 enzymes, which often suffer from limited efficiency and instability.^[27] the engineered P450 peroxygenases showed considerable substrate scope and superior catalytic activities, rendering it a more practical and eco-friendly tool for enzyme/substrate engineering, biocatalysis, and the removal of recalcitrant and xenobiotic pollutants. This significant expansion in biodegradation capability paves a promising way for future application of P450 peroxygenases in the field of bioremediation.

Despite the fact that the efficiencies of the new reaction types fall short of that of normal fatty acid hydroxylation and decarboxylation reactions,^[12d, 28] it nonetheless serves as a

motivating impetus for the future endeavors to enhance activity and reusability through classical enzyme engineering and enzyme immobilization, as well as to expand the competence of P450 peroxygenases by incorporating non-canonical amino acids,^[29] thereby pushing the boundaries of enzymatic catalysis.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32025001 to S.L., 32300021 to Y.J., 32200017 to Z.L., 22403094 to W.P.), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2022M710080 to Y.J.), Postdoctoral Innovation Project of Shandong Province (SDCX-ZG-202201005 to Y.J.), Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation (ZR2023QC021 to Y.J., ZR2022QC070 to Z.L.), and Qingdao Natural Science Foundation (23-2-1-25-zyyd-jch to Y.J.). We thank Zhifeng Li, Jingyao Qu, Guannan Lin and Haiyan Sui from the State Key Laboratory of Microbial Technology at Shandong University for their assistance in GC-MS, LC-MS and NMR data collection. We also thank the staff of the BL19U1 beamline at the National Facility for Protein Science Shanghai (NFPS) for their help with data collection, and Xiaoju Li from the Core Facilities for Life and Environmental Sciences at Shandong University for her assistance with XRD.

Keywords: P450 peroxygenases • acid-base catalysis • substrate recognition mechanism • aromatic hydroxylation • dehalogenation

- a) R. B. Leveson-Gower, C. Mayer, G. Roelfes, *Nat. Rev. Chem.* 2019, 3, 687-705; b) S. Gergel, J. Soler, A. Klein, K. H. Schülke, B. Hauer, M. Garcia-Borràs, S. C. Hammer, *Nat. Catal.* 2023, 6, 606-617; c) D. C. Miller, S. V. Athavale, F. H. Arnold, *Nat. Synth.* 2022, 1, 18-23; d) Y. Gumulya, J. Baek, S. J. Wun, R. E. S. Thomson, K. L. Harris, D. J. B. Hunter, J. B. Y. H. Behrendorff, J. Kulig, S. Zheng, X. Wu, B. Wu, J. E. Stok, J. J. De Voss, G. Schenk, U. Jurva, S. Andersson, E. M. Isin, M. Bodén, L. Guddat, E. M. J. Gillam, *Nat. Catal.* 2018, 1, 878-888.
- [2] a) Q. Zhou, M. Chin, Y. Fu, P. Liu, Y. Yang, *Science* 2021, 374, 1612-1616; b) Z. Liu, C. Calvó-Tusell, A. Z. Zhou, K. Chen, M. Garcia-Borràs, F. H. Arnold, *Nat. Chem.* 2021, *13*, 1166-1172.
- [3] a) E. N. Kissman, M. B. Sosa, D. C. Millar, E. J. Koleski, K. Thevasundaram, M. C. Y. Chang, *Nature* 2024, *631*, 37-48; b) K. Chen, F. H. Arnold, *Nat. Catal.* 2020, 3, 203-213.
- [4] H. Renata, Z. J. Wang, F. H. Arnold, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 2015, 54, 3351-3367.
- [5] a) M. J. Coon, Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 2005, 45, 1-25; b) Z. Li,
 Y. Jiang, F. P. Guengerich, L. Ma, S. Li, W. Zhang, J. Biol. Chem. 2020, 295, 833-849; c) X. Zhang, J. Guo, F. Cheng, S. Li, Nat. Prod. Rep. 2021, 38, 1072-1099; d) R. E. P. Chandrasena, K. P. Vatsis, M. J. Coon, P. F. Hollenberg, M. Newcomb, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 115-126; e) L. S. Mazzaferro, W. Hüttel, A. Fries, M. Müller, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 12289-12295; f) J. B. Johnston, H. Ouellet, L. M. Podust, P. R. Ortiz de Montellano, Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 2011, 507, 86-94; g) D. J. Frank, C. A. Waddling, M. La, P. R. Ortiz de Montellano, Biochemistry 2015, 54, 6909-6916; h) S. P. de Visser, F. Ogliaro, P. K. Sharma, S. Shaik, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 11809-11826; i) B.

n Wiley Online Library for

; of use; OA

articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons

Meunier, S. P. de Visser, S. Shaik, *Chem. Rev.* 2004, *104*, 3947-3980;
j) J. Rittle, M. T. Green, *Science* 2010, *330*, 933-937; k) T. H. Yosca, J.
Rittle, C. M. Krest, E. L. Onderko, A. Silakov, J. C. Calixto, R. K. Behan,
M. T. Green, *Science* 2013, *342*, 825-829; l) C. Li, W. Wu, D. Kumar, S.
Shaik, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006, *128*, 394-395; m) S. Shaik, S. Cohen,
Y. Wang, H. Chen, D. Kumar, W. Thiel, *Chem. Rev.* 2010, *110*, 949-1017; n) D. Yue, E. W. H. Ng, H. Hirao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2024, *146*, 30117-30125; o) A. S. Chowdhury, H. S. Ali, A. S. Faponle, S. P. de
Visser, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2020, *22*, 27178-27190.

- a) W. Zhang, L. Du, F. Li, X. Zhang, Z. Qu, L. Han, Z. Li, J. Sun, F. Qi,
 Q. Yao, ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 9992-10003; b) S. Li, L. Du, R. Bernhardt,
 Trends Microbiol. 2020, 28, 445-454.
- [7] a) M. C. Sigmund, G. J. Poelarends, *Nat. Catal.* 2020, *3*, 690-702; b) A.
 W. Munro, K. J. McLean, J. L. Grant, T. M. Makris, *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 2018, *46*, 183-196.
- a) M. Pickl, S. Kurakin, F. G. Cantu Reinhard, P. Schmid, A. Pocheim, [8] C. K. Winkler, W. Kroutil, S. P. de Visser, K. Faber, ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 565-577; b) J. Chen, F. Kong, N. Ma, P. Zhao, C. Liu, X. Wang, Z. Cong, ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 7350-7355; c) P. Zhao, F. Kong, Y. Jiang, X. Qin, X. Tian, Z. Cong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2023, 145, 5506-5511; d) S. Fan, Z. Cong, Acc. Chem. Res. 2024, 57, 613-624; e) Y. Jiang, P. Li, Z. Li, B. Zhang, S. Li, Sci. Bull. 2024, 69, 3350-3354; f) J. L. Grant, C. H. Hsieh, T. M. Makris, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 4940-4943; g) J. L. Grant, M. E. Mitchell, T. M. Makris, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2016, 113, 10049-10054; h) S. Matthews, K. L. Tee, N. J. Rattray, K. J. McLean, D. Leys, D. A. Parker, R. T. Blankley, A. W. Munro, FEBS Lett. 2017, 591, 737-750; i) H. M. Girvan, H. Poddar, K. J. McLean, D. R. Nelson, K. A. Hollywood, C. W. Levy, D. Leys, A. W. Munro, J. Inorg. Biochem. 2018, 188, 18-28; j) S. Matthews, J. D. Belcher, K. L. Tee, H. M. Girvan, K. J. McLean, S. E. Rigby, C. W. Levy, D. Leys, D. A. Parker, R. T. Blankley, A. W. Munro, J. Biol. Chem. 2017, 292, 5128-5143; k) J. A. Amaya, O. M. Manley, J. C. Bian, C. D. Rutland, N. Leschinsky, S. C. Ratigan, T. M. Makris, J. Inorg. Biochem. 2024, 252, 112458; I) L. L. Rade, W. C. Generoso, S. Das, A. S. Souza, R. L. Silveira, M. C. Avila, P. S. Vieira, R. Y. Miyamoto, A. B. B. Lima, J. A. Aricetti, R. R. de Melo, N. Milan, G. F. Persinoti, A. Bonomi, M. T. Murakami, T. M. Makris, L. M. Zanphorlin, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2023, 120, e2221483120.
- [9] a) H. Yeom, S. G. Sligar, H. Li, T. L. Poulos, A. J. Fulco, *Biochemistry* 1995, *34*, 14733-14740; b) O. Shoji, T. Fujishiro, K. Nishio, Y. Kano, H. Kimoto, S. C. Chien, H. Onoda, A. Muramatsu, S. Tanaka, A. Hori, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 2016, *6*, 5806-5811; c) M. Hofrichter, R. Ullrich, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 2014, *19*, 116-125.
- [10] a) D. S. Lee, A. Yamada, H. Sugimoto, I. Matsunaga, H. Ogura, K. Ichihara, S. Adachi, S. Y. Park, Y. Shiro, *J. Biol. Chem.* 2003, *278*, 9761-9767; b) T. Fujishiro, O. Shoji, S. Nagano, H. Sugimoto, Y. Shiro, Y. Watanabe, *J. Biol. Chem.* 2011, *286*, 29941-29950; c) J. Belcher, K. J. McLean, S. Matthews, L. S. Woodward, K. Fisher, S. E. Rigby, D. R. Nelson, D. Potts, M. T. Baynham, D. A. Parker, D. Leys, A. W. Munro, *J. Biol. Chem.* 2014, *289*, 6535-6550.
- [11] a) M. A. Rude, T. S. Baron, S. Brubaker, M. Alibhai, S. B. Del Cardayre, A. Schirmer, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2011, 77, 1718-1727; b) Y. Jiang, S. Li, *Method Enzymol.* 2023, 693, 339-374; c) K. Liu, S. Li, *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 2020, 62, 7-14; d) Y. Liu, C. Wang, J. Yan, W. Zhang, W. Guan, X. Lu, S. Li, *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 2014, 7, 28; e) H. Xu, L. Ning, W. Yang, B. Fang, C. Wang, Y. Wang, J. Xu, S. Collin, F. Laeuffer, L. Fourage, S. Li, *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 2017, *10*, 208; f) Y. Jiang, Z. Li, C. Wang, Y. J. Zhou, H. Xu, S. Li, *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 2019, *12*, 79; g) Y.

Jiang, Z. Li, S. Zheng, H. Xu, Y. J. Zhou, Z. Gao, C. Meng, S. Li, Biotechnol. Biofuels **2020**, 13, 52.

- [12] a) A. S. Faponle, M. G. Quesne, S. P. de Visser, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2016, 22, 5478-5483; b) K. Zhang, A. Yu, X. Chu, F. Li, J. Liu, L. Liu, W. J. Bai, C. He, X. Wang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2022, 61, e202204290; c) Q. Ma, W. Shan, X. Chu, H. Xu, Z. Chen, F. Li, J. Liao, C. He, W. Bai, X. Wang, *Nat. Synth.* 2023, 3, 123-130; d) Y. Jiang, W. Peng, Z. Li, C. You, Y. Zhao, D. Tang, B. Wang, S. Li, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2021, 60, 24694-24701.
- [13] O. Shoji, T. Fujishiro, H. Nakajima, M. Kim, S. Nagano, Y. Shiro, Y. Watanabe, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 2007, 46, 3656-3659.
- [14] L. Zhang, D. Ma, Y. Yin, Q. Wang, Chem. Eur. J. 2021, 27, 8940-8945.
- [15] a) M. N. Podgorski, J. S. Harbort, J. H. Z. Lee, G. T. H. Nguyen, J. B. Bruning, W. A. Donald, P. V. Bernhardt, J. R. Harmer, S. G. Bell, *ACS Catal.* **2022**, *12*, 1614-1625; b) P. Zhao, Y. Jiang, Q. Wang, J. Chen, F. Yao, Z. Cong, *Chem. Sci.* **2024**, *15*, 8062-8070.
- [16] a) J. K. Stanfield, H. Onoda, S. Ariyasu, C. Kasai, E. M. Burfoot, H. Sugimoto, O. Shoji, *J. Inorg. Biochem.* 2023, 245, 112235; b) F. E. Zilly, J. P. Acevedo, W. Augustyniak, A. Deege, U. W. Häusig, M. T. Reetz, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2011, *50*, 2720-2724; c) N. Kawakami, O. Shoji, Y. Watanabe, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2011, *50*, 5315-5318.
- [17] S. Wang, S. Jiang, H. Chen, W. Bai, X. Wang, ACS Catal. 2020, 10, 14375-14379.
- [18] G. M. Morris, R. Huey, W. Lindstrom, M. F. Sanner, R. K. Belew, D. S. Goodsell, A. J. Olson, *J. Comput. Chem.* **2009**, *30*, 2785-2791.
- [19] M. Musialik, R. Kuzmicz, T. S. Pawłowski, G. Litwinienko, J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 2699-2709.
- [20] a) J. Du, X. Huang, S. Sun, C. Wang, L. Lebioda, J. H. Dawson, Biochemistry 2011, 50, 8172-8180; b) C. Wang, L. L. Lovelace, S. Sun, J. H. Dawson, L. Lebioda, Biochemistry 2013, 52, 6203-6210; c) J. M. González-Delgado, P. M. Thompson, W. Andrałojć, Z. Gdaniec, R. A. Ghiladi, S. Franzen, J. Phys. Chem. B 2024, 128, 3383-3397; d) M. S. Aktar, V. de Serrano, R. Ghiladi, S. Franzen, J. Inorg. Biochem. 2023, 247, 112332.
- [21] a) X. Wang, S. Peter, M. Kinne, M. Hofrichter, J. T. Groves, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, *134*, 12897-12900; b) H. Onoda, S. Tanaka, Y. Watanabe, O. Shoji, *Faraday Discuss.* 2022, *234*, 304-314.
- [22] a) Y. Zhang, T. Mokkawes, S. P. de Visser, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2023**, 62, e202310785; b) C. Colomban, A. H. Tobing, G. Mukherjee, C.
 V. Sastri, A. B. Sorokin, S. P. de Visser, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, 25, 14320-14331; c) J. C. Hackett, T. T. Sanan, C. M. Hadad, *Biochemistry* **2007**, 46, 5924-5940.
- [23] Y. Zhang, Y. Cao, C. V. Sastri, S. P. de Visser, ACS Catal. 2025, 15, 3898-3912.
- [24] a) S. Ito, M. Sugumaran, K. Wakamatsu, Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2020, 21, 6080; b) Y. Guo, A. Baschieri, F. Mollica, L. Valgimigli, J. Cedrowski, G. Litwinienko, R. Amorati, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 2021, 60, 15220-15224.
- [25] H. Chen, M. Huang, W. Yan, W. Bai, X. Wang, ACS Catal. 2021, 11, 10625-10630.
- [26] G. Fuchs, M. Boll, J. Heider, Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2011, 9, 803-816.
- [27] M. Cheng, D. Chen, R. E. Parales, J. Jiang, Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 2022, 76, 27.
- [28] C. Lu, F. Shen, S. Wang, Y. Wang, J. Liu, W. Bai, X. Wang, ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 5794-5798.
- [29] a) I. Drienovská, G. Roelfes, *Nat. Catal.* 2020, *3*, 193-202; b) Z. Zou, B. Higginson, T. R. Ward, *Chem* 2024, *10*, 2373-2389.

WILEY _ VCH

Entry for the Table of Contents



The study unveils novel aromatic hydroxylation and dehalogenation activities of CYP152 peroxygenases towards various aromatic pollutants without any carboxyl group. Mechanistic analyses provide new insights into non-carboxylic substrate-assisted acid-base catalysis for hydrogen peroxide activation, inspiring potential applications in industrial biocatalysis and environmental remediation.